1 The APSIM Nutrient Model

The soil nutrient model includes functionality for simulating pools of organmic matter and mineral nitrogen. The processes for each are described below.

1.1 Soil Nutrient Model Structure



Soil organic matter is modelled as a series of discrete organic matter pools which are described in terms of their masses of carbon and nutrients. These pools are initialised according to approaches specific to each pool. Organic matter pools may have carbon flows, such as a decomposition process, associated to them. These carbon flows are also specific to each pool, are independantly specified, and are described in each case in the documentation for each organic matter pool below.

Mineral nutrient pools (e.g. Nitrate, Ammonium, Urea) are described as solutes within the model. Each pool captures the mass of the nutrient (e.g. N,P) and they may also contain nutrient flows to describe losses or transformations for that particular compound (e.g. denitrification of nitrate, hydrolysis of urea).

1.2 Pools

A nutrient pool class is used to encapsulate the carbon and nitrogen within each soil organic matter pool. Child functions within these classes provide information for initialisation and flows of C and N to other pools, or losses from the system.

The soil organic matter pools used within the model are described in the following sections in terms of their initialisation and the carbon flows occuring from them.

1.2.1 Inert

The inert pool captures organic matter that either does not decompose, or decomposes at a rate slow enough to be of little importance to simulations. Such an approach was also captured in the APSIM SoilN model (Probert et al., 1998).

1.2.1.1 Initialisation

The initialisation of Carbon and Nutrient contents of this pool is described as follows:

InitialCarbon = [Soil].Organic.FInert x [Soil].Initial.OC x 100 x [Soil].Physical.BD x [Soil].Physical.Thickness

InitialNitrogen = [Inert].C / [Soil].Initial.OCNR

1.2.2 SurfaceResidue

This pool is used to provide the information about potential flows of Carbon and nutrients from the surface organic matter model to the soil nutrient model. The potential daily flows of C and nutrients is provided to this pool at run time. Matter not decomposed is returned to the surface organic matter model. Such an approach was also captured in the APSIM SoilN model (Probert et al., 1998). This implementation is currently used to reproduce original functionality and should be refactored at some time in the future.

1.2.2.1 Initialisation

The initialisation of Carbon and Nutrient contents of this pool is described as follows:

InitialCarbon = 0

InitialNitrogen = 0

1.2.2.2 Organic Matter Flows

1.2.2.2.1 Decomposition

Destination of C from Decomposition

Destination Pool	Carbon Fraction
Microbial	0.9
Humic	0.1

1.2.3 FOMLignin

The FOMLignin pool captures "lignin-like" material within fresh organic matter (FOM). Such as approach was also captured in the APSIM SoilN model (Probert et al., 1998) and in CERES Maize(Jones et al., 1986). This pool has the slowest decomposition rate of the three FOM pools.

1.2.3.1 Initialisation

The initialisation of Carbon and Nutrient contents of this pool is described as follows:

InitialCarbon = [Soil].Organic.FOM x 0.1 x 0.4

InitialNitrogen = [FOMLignin].C / [Soil].Organic.FOMCNRatio

1.2.3.2 Organic Matter Flows

1.2.3.2.1 Decomposition

Destination of C from Decomposition

Destination Pool	Carbon Fraction
Microbial	0.9

Destination Pool	Carbon Fraction
Humic	0.1

1.2.4 FOMCellulose

The FOMCellulose pool captures "cellulose-like" material within fresh organic matter (FOM). Such as approach was also captured in the APSIM SoilN model (Probert et al., 1998) and in CERES Maize(Jones et al., 1986).

1.2.4.1 Initialisation

The initialisation of Carbon and Nutrient contents of this pool is described as follows:

InitialCarbon = [Soil].Organic.FOM x 0.7 x 0.4

InitialNitrogen = [FOMCellulose].C / [Soil].Organic.FOMCNRatio

1.2.4.2 Organic Matter Flows

1.2.4.2.1 Decomposition

Destination of C from Decomposition

Destination Pool	Carbon Fraction
Microbial	0.9
Humic	0.1

1.2.5 FOMCarbohydrate

The FOMCarbohydrate pool captures "carbohydrate-like" material within fresh organic matter (FOM). Such as approach was also captured in the APSIM SoilN model (Probert et al., 1998) and in CERES Maize(Jones et al., 1986). This pool has the fastest decomposition rate of the three FOM pools.

1.2.5.1 Initialisation

The initialisation of Carbon and Nutrient contents of this pool is described as follows:

InitialCarbon = [Soil].Organic.FOM x 0.2 x 0.4

InitialNitrogen = [FOMCarbohydrate].C / [Soil].Organic.FOMCNRatio

1.2.5.2 Organic Matter Flows

1.2.5.2.1 Decomposition

Destination of C from Decomposition

Destination Pool	Carbon Fraction
Microbial	0.9
Humic	0.1

1.2.6 Microbial

The microbial pool (formally Biom pool in APSIM SoilNitrogen) represents the more labile, soil microbial biomass and microbial products.

1.2.6.1 Initialisation

The initialisation of Carbon and Nutrient contents of this pool is described as follows:

InitialCarbon = (([Soil].Initial.OC x 100 x [Soil].Physical.BD x [Soil].Physical.Thickness -[Inert].C) x [Soil].Organic.FBiom) / (1 + [Soil].Organic.FBiom)

InitialNitrogen = [Microbial].C / [CNRatio]

1.2.6.2 Organic Matter Flows

1.2.6.2.1 Decomposition

Destination of C from Decomposition

Destination Pool	Carbon Fraction
Microbial	0.6
Humic	0.4

1.2.7 Humic

The humic pool represents the largest soil organic matter pool consisting of organic matter with much lower decomposition rate.

1.2.7.1 Initialisation

The initialisation of Carbon and Nutrient contents of this pool is described as follows:

InitialCarbon = (1-[Soil].Organic.FInert)x[Soil].Initial.OC x 100 x [Soil].Physical.BD x [Soil].Physical.Thickness - [Microbial].C

InitialNitrogen = [Humic].C / [Soil].Initial.OCNR

1.2.7.2 Organic Matter Flows

1.2.7.2.1 Decomposition

Destination of C from Decomposition

Destination Pool	Carbon Fraction
Microbial	1

1.3 Solutes

The soil mineral nutrient pools used within the model are described in the following sections in terms of their initialisation and the flows occuring from them.

1.3.1 Urea

This pool simulates the urea content of each soil layer. Much of the capability has been taken from implementation in the APSIM SoilN model (Probert et al., 1998), which was derived from approaches in CERES-Maize (Jones et al., 1986).

This class used for this nutrient encapsulates the nitrogen within a mineral N pool. Child functions provide information on flows of N from it to other mineral N pools, or losses from the system.

1.3.2 NO3

This pool simulates the nitrate content of each soil layer. Much of the capability has been taken from implementation in the APSIM SoilN model (Probert et al., 1998), which was derived from approaches in CERES-Maize (Jones et al., 1986).

This class used for this nutrient encapsulates the nitrogen within a mineral N pool. Child functions provide information on flows of N from it to other mineral N pools, or losses from the system.

1.3.3 NH4

This class used for this nutrient encapsulates the nitrogen within a mineral N pool. Child functions provide information on flows of N from it to other mineral N pools, or losses from the system.

1.3.4 LabileP

This class used for this nutrient encapsulates the nitrogen within a mineral N pool. Child functions provide information on flows of N from it to other mineral N pools, or losses from the system.

1.3.5 UnavailableP

This class used for this nutrient encapsulates the nitrogen within a mineral N pool. Child functions provide information on flows of N from it to other mineral N pools, or losses from the system.

2 Validation

The Soil Nutrient model has been tested on a variety of datasets studying the impact of management (tillage, cropping rotation, nitrogen management) on soil carbon, nitrogen and crop productivity for a range of soil types and environments.



2.1 Combined Results



2.2 Australia

Test data are provided for three locations across Australia, ranging from warmer subtropical Queensland, through to cooler temperature locations in southern Australia.

2.2.1 Tarlee

This Rotation Trial (Schultz, 1995) was located near the township of Tarlee (34.28 S, 138.77E) in South Australia from 1979 to 1996. It was established on a Red Brown Earth to monitor the long term effects of rotations on soil properties and crop production. In this test, we use data for continuous wheat, and wheat-fallow rotations with 3 stubble treatments (burning, incorporation, retention) and 3 Nitrogen rates (0,40,80 kg/ha).

List of experiments.

Experiment Name	Design (Number of Treatments)
Tarlee	Rotation x Stubble x N (18)

2.2.1.1 Crop



2.2.1.2 Soil


TOC 30cm
40000
35000
30000
25000
1987
1997


Obs vs Pre TOC 30cm
40000
35000
30000
25000
25000
30000
35000
40000


TOC 0 to 10cm
18000
16000
14000
12000
1987
1997


Obs vs Pre TOC 10cm
18000
16000
14000
12000
15000


TOC 10 to 30cm
25000
20000
15000
1987
1997


Obs vs Pre TOC 10 to 30 cm
25000
20000
15000
15000
20000
25000





2.2.2 Hudson

This dataset demonstrates cropping system performance and soil carbon dynamics under continuous winter cereal versus perrenial pasture. The cropping and pasture systems experiment was established in August 1994 on the farming property 'Hudson' located in the foothills of the Liverpool Ranges (31.758S, 150.458E; average annual rainfall 684mm with some summer dominance, average annual pan evaporation 1718 mm). Further details about the experiment and the data can be found at Young et al., 2009 and Paydar et al., 2005.

List of experiments.

Experiment Name	Design (Number of Treatments)
Hudson	Treatment (2)

2.2.2.1 Graphs





2.2.3 Brigalow Catchment Study

This dataset was originally simulated using APSIM by Huth et al., 2010. The study was conducted near Theodore, Queensland, Australia (24.81°S, 149.80°E). Several catchments were monitored under different land uses following clearing of native Brigalow Forest (Acacia Harpophylla). Data for part of the cropping catchment are used here.

List of experiments.

Experiment Name	Design (Number of Treatments)
Brigalow	Catchment (1)

2.2.3.1 Graphs



2.2.4 Horsham

List of experiments.

Experiment Name	Design (Number of Treatments)
Horsham	Treatment (3)

2.3 North America

2.3.1 Pendleton

The Pendleton Long Term Experiment (Rasmussen et al., 1998) was established in 1931 at Oregon State University's Columbia Basin Agricultural Research Center near Pendleton, OR (45.72 N, 118.63 W). It consisted of nine treatments consisting of crop residue (fall burn, spring burn, and no burn) and fertility (0, 45, and 90 kg N/ha, manure, and pea vine) management practices under a Winter Wheat-Summer Fallow system. All plots were tilled using a moldboard plow, cultivated, and rod-weeded to control weeds.

List of experiments.

Experiment Name	Design (Number of Treatments)
Pendleton	Treatment (9)

2.3.1.1 Pendleton

2.3.1.1.1 Soil




SON 0 to 30 cm
4500
4000
3500
3000
1951
1971
1991
SON 30 to 60 cm
3000
2800
2600
2400
1951
1971
1991
CNR 0 to 30 cm
14
12
10
8
1951
1971
1991
CNR 30 to 60 cm
14
12
10
8
1951
1971
1991


SOC 0 to 30 cm Pre vs Obs
50000
40000
40000
50000


SOC 30 to 60 cm Pre vs Obs
35000
30000
25000
25000
30000
35000


SON 0 to 30 cm Pre vs Obs
4500
4000
3500
3000
3000
3500
4000
4500


SON 30 to 60 cm Pre vs Obs
3000
2800
2600
2400
2400
2600
2800
3000

2.3.1.1.2 Crop







3 Sensibility

3.1 N2O

N2O emmisions are modelled by the APSIM Nutrient model. Further work is encouraged to test and improve this part of the model. Till then, sensibility tests are conducted to ensure that the results from the model meet basic expectations from previous studies.

This very simple sensibility test ensures that the following criteria hold for a range of different farming systems across different geographical locations: a) Oil Palm in Papua New Guinea b) Wheat in Southern Queensland, Australia. c) Sugarcane in Northern Queensland, Australia d) Maize in Malawi, Africa.

Tests check that the following are maintained:

1. Total annual N2O losses from denitrification are relatively low (less than 25 kg N/ha/y)
2. N2O losses from denitrification lie within 10% and 25% of total N losses from denitrification
3. Total annual N2O losses from nitrification are very low (less than 3 kg N/ha/y)





3.2 Incubation

List of experiments.

Experiment Name	Design (Number of Treatments)
Incubation	Temperature x InitialP (6)

3.2.1 Incubation



3.2.1.1 Graphs

SoilTemperature

SoilWater



NO3



NH4



LabileP



UnavailableP









4 References

Huth, N. I., Thorburn, P. J., Radford, B. J., Thornton, C. M., 2010. Impacts of fertilisers and legumes on N2O and CO2 emissions from soils in subtropical agricultural systems: A simulation study. Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment 136 (3-4), 351-357.

Jones, C.A., Kiniry, J.R., Dyke, P.T., 1986. CERES-Maize: a simulation model of maize growth and development..

Paydar, Z., Huth, N., Ringrose-Voase, A., Young, R., Bernardi, T., Keating, B., Cresswell, H., 2005. Deep drainage and land use systems. Model verification and systems comparison. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 56 (9), 995-1007.

Probert, M. E., Dimes, J. P., Keating, B. A., Dalal, R. C., Strong, W. M., 1998. APSIM's Water and Nitrogen Modules and Simulation of the Dynamics of Water and Nitrogen in Fallow Systems. Agricultural Systems 56 (1), 1-28.

Rasmussen, P E, Albrecht, S L,, Smiley, R W, 1998. Soil C and N changes under tillage and cropping systems in semi-arid Pacific Northwest agriculture. Soil and Tillage Research 47, 197-205.

Schultz, J.E., 1995. Crop production in a rotation trial at Tarlee, South Australia. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture 35 (865-876).

Young, R. R., Wilson, B., Harden, S., Bernardi, A., 2009. Accumulation of soil carbon under zero tillage cropping and perennial. AUSTRALIAN JOURNAL OF SOIL RESEARCH 47 (3), 273-285.